

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,934	03/09/2004	Andreas Sausner	388-1001 3281		
23626 7	590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD.			HONG, JOHN C		
(ROCKFORD OFFICE) TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
180 NORTH STESTON AVENUE			3726		
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/796,934	SAUSNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John C. Hong	3726	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
before the Filling of all Appeal Bilet	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John C. Hong	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following sichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 7,14,15 and 17-21 canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely file	ed amendment
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 7,14,15 and 17.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	\mathcal{A}_{\sim}	
19. [] Oulet		100	
		John C. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3726	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim1, Otto et al. (col.1, lines 22-31) disclose the step of removing at least a portion of t plastic layer and eventually covering all sideds.; and regarding claim 6, Ikeda et al. teaches the polyprpylene tube which is electrically conductive by nature (cf. USPN 4026648).